





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/449,270		11/24/1999	DAVID HARTLEY	PA-5169-CON	PA-5169-CON 6069	
9896	7590	08/14/2003				
COOK GROUP PATENT OFFICE EXAMINER					NER	
P.O. BOX 22 BLOOMING		47402		HO, UYEN T		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3731	۸۱.	
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	(Q	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/449,270	HARTLEY ET AL.	U
, action, producti	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•	
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 9-42.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	—·	
10. Other:	SUP	MICHAEL J. MILAI ERVISORY PATENT E ECHNOLOGY CENTER	XAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/449,270

ľ

Application No.



Ó

Continuation of 2. NOTE: After careful reconsideration of this application, the claim rejection under 35 USC 112 of previous office action is withdrawn. Therefore, the final action made in previous office action is proper. Applicants argue that there "should not require any further consideration or searching on the part of the Examiner" because the newly added limitation of claim 1 was discussed and included in independent claim 9. Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicants' argument. Although, the newly added limitation of claim 1 is a subject matter included in claim 9, it does not mean that allowing claim 9 would place claim 1 in condition for allowance. The newly added limitation of claim 1 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search because claim 1 is broader than claim 9.